



SAFETY INTELLIGENCE PROOF REPORT

Gold Seal Proof Report · Day 30

SAMPLE. Illustrative figures from a fictional facility, shown to demonstrate the report VPT delivers. Not a real deployment or real data.

FACILITY	Sample County Detention Center	REPORTING PERIOD	Day 0 to Day 30	COVERAGE TIER	Standard	PREPARED BY	VPT Safety Intelligence
----------	--------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------	-------------	-------------------------

1 Executive summary

Over the first 30 days, VPT applied Safety Intelligence to 18 of Sample County's existing cameras across intake, isolation, medical, and high-risk housing. The system surfaced 47 safety-relevant events for staff review, prompted a faster response on 9 flagged events, and closed a recurring gap in welfare-check documentation. Median response time on flagged events improved from about 4 minutes to about 90 seconds.

What this means. For the Jailer: earlier awareness in the zones where emergencies happen. For the Fiscal Court: a documented response record that is cheaper than one preventable event. For the Risk Pool: reduced claim exposure with a defensible trail.

2 Deployment snapshot

- Existing cameras leveraged: 18 (no new hardware installed)
- High-risk zones under Safety Intelligence: intake, isolation, medical, high-risk housing
- Blind spots identified during walkthrough: detox hallway, segregation dayroom corner
- PREA-prohibited and private areas excluded by design
- Monitoring model: human-in-the-loop operator review of system-surfaced events

3 Day 0 baseline (the before)

- Known blind spots: detox hallway and seg dayroom corner had no usable coverage
- Prior welfare-check logging was inconsistent and hard to reconstruct after an event
- No timestamped response-time reference existed before VPT

4 Safety Intelligence activity (this period)

EVENT CATEGORY	COUNT (30 DAYS)
Man-down / medical distress	11
Possible self-harm posture	6
Altercation / use of force	9
Fall	7
Unauthorized area / movement	10
Other safety event	4

Events surfaced and reviewed: **47**. Near-misses caught earlier than routine rounds would have: **9**.

5 Response and documentation (the liability core)

- Flagged events where staff response was prompted or accelerated: 9
- Median response time on flagged events: about 4 minutes down to about 90 seconds



- Timestamped, reviewable records produced: 31
- Documentation gap closed: welfare-check logging now consistent and exportable

6 Safety Intelligence Score

COMPONENT	DAY 0	DAY 30	CHANGE
High-risk zone coverage	60	85	+25
Response discipline	55	82	+27
Documentation completeness	50	88	+38

7 Operational recommendations

- Add coverage to the detox hallway blind spot before the next intake surge.
- Standardize the welfare-check log export as the monthly record for county counsel.
- Expand Safety Intelligence to the booking sally port in the next phase.

8 The bottom line for leadership

Sample County used cameras it already owned to see risk earlier and document response, funded from canteen safety-and-security funds under KRS 441.135, with no general-budget fight. The structured proof in this report is the record a county attorney, a fiscal court, and an insurer all want to see, and it costs a fraction of one preventable critical event.

VPT operates as a human-reviewed Safety Intelligence layer, not autonomous surveillance. It does not replace officers, make disciplinary or medical decisions, use facial recognition, or monitor PREA-prohibited or private areas, and it works only on the facility's existing authorized camera feeds.

SAMPLE report. Figures are illustrative and do not represent any real facility or deployment.